

## BUREAU OF SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT

Date:

January 25, 2007 Clay Hill Elementary School:

School District: Clay

REQUIREMENTS	PROGRESS TOWARD MEETING REQUIREMENTS Report progress toward meeting accountability requirements in the appropriate cells below
HIGHLY QUALIFIED CERTIFIED ADMINISTRATORS	No Changes in Administration have taken place since the last report.
HIGHLY QUALIFIED TEACHERS	The following changes have taken place since August : Lindsey Avery was replaced by Jennifer Roach on 10/25/06, Brianna Tucker was replaced by Vicki Hickman 8/31/06, and Angela Divell was replaced by Elizabeth Simms 9/11/06. There are no instructional vacancies at this time. All teachers are certified and teaching in-field.
TEACHER MENTORING ACTIVITIES	Two book studies have been completed at this time. A study of Ron Clark's <i>Essential 55</i> and Larry Bell's <i>12 Powerful Words</i> . In addition, our school hosted a math in-service for 3 <sup>rd</sup> – 6 <sup>th</sup> grade teachers featuring Julie Teague. Many mini-workshops have been given by veteran CHE teachers & district Title 1 Curriculum Specialists. Peer teachers are in place for new alternative certification teachers.
EXTENDED LEARNING OPPORTUNITIES	STAR tutoring in the SuccessMaker Lab had 18 students attend for the afternoon session of tutoring from October 16 to December 14. In addition the SuccessMaker Lab is open mornings before school and has an average of 18 students each morning. Our Winter Session of tutoring has begun for students in grades 2-6 and currently has 62 students enrolled.

Level 110%13%+3Based on report card data for the first and second nine weeks, **70%** of K-2 students are at or above grade level.<br/>According to the Stanford 10 for 2005-2006 Kindergarten: 62% scored above the 50th percentile on the<br/>Stanford 10. First grade: 68% scored above the 50th percentile on the Stanford 10. Second grade: 74% scored<br/>above the 50th percentile on the Stanford 10 for a K-2 Average of **68%** of K-2 students scoring at or above<br/>grade level.

Report Card Grades and 3-6<sup>th</sup> SuccessMaker Data

2<sup>nd</sup>

Progress

Report

(January)

70%

54%

33%

%

Change

+2

-17

+14

3<sup>rd</sup>

Progress

Report

(April)

%

Change

Total %

Change

%

Change

1<sup>st</sup>

Progress

Report

(October)

N/A

N/A

According to the 2005-2006 FCAT: Third grade: 88% scored level 3 or higher on the FCAT SSS reading. Fourth grade: 71% scored level 3 or higher on the FCAT SSS reading. Fifth grade: 59% scored level 3 or higher on the FCAT SSS reading. Sixth grade: 65% scored level 3 or higher on the FCAT SSS reading for a 3<sup>rd</sup> - 6<sup>th</sup> average of **71%** of students scoring at or above grade level. Based on current SuccessMaker Data **54** of 3<sup>rd</sup>-6<sup>th</sup> students are at or above grade level in Reading. **30% of ESE** students have reached their target level in reading, and **59% of economically disadvantaged** have also reached their target level in reading.

Curriculum Area/Benchmark: Reading Name of Assessment Used: K-2<sup>nd</sup> Re

**Baseline** 

Data

68%

71%

19%

Grade

Assessed

standards (SAT10 50<sup>th</sup> percentile & above) Grade 3-6th

% meeting high

Level 2

standards Level 3+

READING

Grade K-2 % meeting high

	Curriculum Area/Benchmark: Math Name of Assessment Used: : K-2 <sup>nd</sup> & 6th Report Card Grades and 3-5 <sup>th</sup> SuccessMaker Data										
			<sup>°</sup> & 6th Rep		brades and				<b>T</b> (10)		
MATHEMATICS MATHEMATICS MATHEMATICS MATHEMATICS MATHEMATICS MATHEMATICS MATHEMATICS MATHEMATICS MATHEMATICS Standa Level Level Level Level Standa Level Level Standa Level Level Standa Level Level Standa Level Level Standa Level Level Standa Level Level Standa Level Level Standa Level Standa Level Standa Level Standa Level Standa Level Standa Level Standa Level Standa Level Standa Level Standa Level Standa Level Standa Level Standa Level Standa Standa Standa Standa Standa Standa Secor Based o 74 % of 2005-24 Standa Stand			-		—		•				
	Assessed	Dala	Report (October)	Change	Report (January)	Change	Report (April)	Change	Change		
	Grade Assessed  Baseline Data  1 <sup>st</sup> Progress Report (October)  % Progress Report (January)  2 <sup>nd</sup> Change Progress Report (January)  3 <sup>rd</sup> Change Report (January)  % Progress Report (January)    Grade K-2										
	(SAT10 50 <sup>th</sup> percentile &	63%			74%	+11					
					<u> </u>						
MATHEMATICS	00	69%			57%	-12					
		29%			21%	-8					
	Level 1					+10					
	Grade 6th										
	% meeting high	48%			63%	+15					
	Assessed  Data  Progress Report (January)  Change Report (January)  Progress Report (January)  Change Report (January)  Progress Report (January)  Change Report (April)  Change Report (April)										
	Level 2										
							levels for K	-2 at CHE	:		
	2005-2006 Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT) Sunshine State Standards Math data indicated the following										
	• Sixth grade: 48% scored level 3 or higher on the FCAT math.										
	reflects <b>57%</b> of 3 <sup>rd</sup> -5 <sup>th</sup> gr										
	Math Data 48% of sixth										
	second nine weeks 63%	of sixth g	rade studen	nts are at c	or above gra	ade level.	•	· ·			
	Based on SuccessMaker Data <b>28% of ESE</b> 3 <sup>rd</sup> -5 <sup>th</sup> grade students are at their target level and <b>50% of economically disadvantaged students</b> 3 <sup>rd</sup> -5 <sup>th</sup> grade students have reached their target.										

Mid Year Report is due January 25<sup>th</sup>

	Type of Essay: Narrati		1 <sup>st</sup>	0/	2 <sup>nd</sup>	0/	3 <sup>rd</sup>	0/	<b>T</b> 1 0/
	Grade	Baseline	-	%		%	-	%	Total %
	Assessed	Data	Progress Report (October)	Change	Progress Report (January)	Change	Progress Report (April)	Change	Change
	Grade 4th		(000000)		(° • • • • • • ) )		()		
	% meeting high standards: Score 3.5+	74%	N/A		61%	-13			
	Score: 2-3	25%			38%	+13			
	Score: NS- 1.5	1%			1%	0			
WRITING									
	Writes. According to the Nove scored at least a 2.	ember Clay	' Writes Da	ita <b>61%</b> oʻ	f 4 <sup>th</sup> grade	students	scored at	or above a	a 3.5 and <b>39%</b>

Name of Assessment	Used: Seme	ster Averag	je on Repo	ort Card Gra	ades			
Grade	Baseline	1 <sup>st</sup>	%	2 <sup>nd</sup>	%	3 <sup>rd</sup>	%	Total %
Assessed	Data	Progress	Change	Progress	Change	Progress	Change	Change
		Report (October)		Report (January)		Report (April)		8-
Grade 5th								
% meeting high standards Level 3+	49%			53%	+4			
Level 2	32%			47%	+15			
Level 1	18%			0%	-18			

2005-2006 Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT) Sunshine State Standards Science data indicated the following performance levels for fifth grade students at CHE: Fifth grade: 49% scored level 3 or higher on the FCAT Science.

Current report card data shows that **53%** of students in grade 5 are at or above grade level in science. This indicates an increase of 4%.

|--|

\*Baseline Data: baseline data is compared to current assessment data to calculate changes in student performance. Data used should measure the same skills or benchmarks as assessments given earlier in the school year.

\*\*Comparable Data: using valid and reliable assessment items and administered regularly(monthly or quarterly) by the district or school to the same students, measuring the same benchmarks, using the same test item specifications with the same degree of difficulty.)

## **Directions for Using the Data Chart**

- 1. Insert the curriculum area and/or benchmark assessed.
- 2. Insert the name of the assessment used.
- 3. Insert the grade levels assessed.
- 4. Insert the assessment data in the appropriate column for the reporting period.
- 5. Enter a narrative explaining the data in the space provided under the data table. The space will expand as needed to accommodate the length of the narrative.